




UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IJFW



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILED DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,983	09/15/2003	Donald Pierre Bourgon		4639

7590
Pierre Bourgon
915 First Street East
Cornwall, ON K6H-1N3
CANADA

10/17/2007

EXAMINER

KIM, TAE JUN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3746

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

10/17/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Abandonment

Application No.

10/664,983

Examiner

Ted Kim

Applicant(s)

BOURGON, DONALD PIERRE

Art Unit

3746

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

This application is abandoned in view of:

1. ☒ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 22 March 2007.
 - (a) ☐ A reply was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of _____ month(s)) which expired on _____.
 - (b) ☒ A proposed reply was received on 17 April 2007, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.

(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).
 - (c) ☐ A reply was received on _____ but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).
 - (d) ☐ No reply has been received.
2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
 - (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
 - (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$_____ is insufficient. A balance of \$_____ is due.

The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$_____. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$_____.
 - (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.
3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).
 - (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.
 - (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.
4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.
5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.
6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on _____ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.
7. ☐ The reason(s) below:


Ted Kim
Primary Examiner

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

To: Ted Kim and Tae Sun
Kim

Re: 10/664,983

If you are correct in
your service that this
application is not viable
there is no reason
for gasoline to have
an octane rating, no
reason for aviation fuel
to have an octane rating,
and no reason for diesel
to have a cetane rating.

I have put this aside
not because of technical
problem but ~~the~~ because
of patent office
legalistic counterpoint

even
Counterpoints about what
it does. This is not
an emission control device

The basis for this design
is at a ~~technical~~ technical level
grade 8 chemistry.
Simple, simple, simple.

I do not believe that
you don't understand
what it does, how it
works or how it's
built.

Pierre Bauman

GG Chen

Bye

IC3700

RANDOLPH

Organization

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

Official Business

Penalty For Private Use, \$300



Ind Priority Airmail
U.S. Postage Paid
Jamaica, NY
Permit No. 9114

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY
AIR MAIL

RECEIVED BY EMPLOYER/SENDER
L'EXPEDITEUR

☐ Unclaimed
Non réclamé

☐ No such address
Adresse inexistante

☒ Address existing
Adresse existante

☐ Address incomplete
Adresse incomplète

☐ Moved / Unknown
Déplacé / Inconnu

☐ No such Post Office
Bureau inconnu

☐ Refused by
Refusé par

22313/1450

